

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,203	0	01/18/2002	Hirohiko Hanada	0425-0865P	9521	
2292	7590	03/18/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	HARDEE, JOHN R			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1751		

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
Advisory Action	09/980,203	HANADA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John R. Hardee	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper reply	ion in		
i —	REPLY [check either a) or b)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date se e later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	mailing date of the final rejection OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	n. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for ffice later than three months after the control of the	g amount of the fee. The approper	priate extension		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within t FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	he period set forth in sal of the appeal.			
2.☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simp	plifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	ling a corresponding numbe	r of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely filed ar	mendment		
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Some	or reconsideration has been on the continuation Sheet.	considered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLE	ELY to issues which were r	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a) will not be entered vould be rejected is provided	or b) will be entered and below or appended.	d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6 and 7</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved	by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•		
10. Other:		John R. Hardee)		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Applicant's allegation of unexpected properties which arise from spray drying should be demonstrated via timely filed affidavit. Attorney arguments cannot take the place of data. An affidavit filed after final rejection would not be timely, as the rejections have been of record since the first office action.